

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/075,404	JOHNSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753	

All Participants:
Status of Application: non-final

 (1) ALEX NOGUEROLA.

(3) _____.

 (2) Leonard Bowersox.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2006
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
23 and 24


Prior art documents discussed:
Guttman US 5,296,116

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that if the word -- constant -- is inserted before "run field" in claims 23 and 24 then all of the claims would be allowed, as Gutman requires some modulation of the run field. Mr. Bowersox requested an Office action so that Applicants may have more time to consider this proposed amendment..